MARKETING SCALES HANDBOOK

Multi-Item Measures for Consumer Insight Research



GORDON C. BRUNER II

Marketing Scales Handbook

Multi-Item Measures for Consumer Insight Research

Volume 9

(sample)

Gordon C. Bruner II



GCBII Productions, LLC Fort Worth, Texas USA

Marketing Scales Handbook, Volume 9. Copyright © 2017, Gordon C. Bruner II. All rights reserved.

ISBN-10: 1542547245

ISBN-13: 978-1542547246

Reviews of the measurement scales in this book are the intellectual property of Gordon C. Bruner II. Unless otherwise noted, ownership and copyright of the scales themselves is not clear. The scales can be used freely but citations of the original sources or some previous users is expected when reports or papers are written that refer to the scales.

Published by:

GCBII Productions, LLC 6109 Timberwolfe Lane Fort Worth, Texas 76135 USA gcbii@marketingscales.com 817-677-8876

Printed by CreateSpace, An Amazon.com Company.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pre	eface xix
Acl	knowledgementsxx
Int	roductionxx
Sca	ale Reviews1
	Acceptance of Behavioral Targeting
	Ad Emphasis on Benefits vs. Attributes
	Ad Incongruence Resolution6
	Ad-Evoked Interest in the Brand
	Advertising Relevance Expectation
	Affective Response to the Ad (Vulnerability)10
	Altruism
	Anthropomorphism (General)
	Anthropomorphism of Time
	Anxiety (Social)
	Anxiety (Social)19
	Appreciation Felt
	Argument Strength
	Arousal24
	Arousal During the Auction
	Athletic Skill Control
	Athleticism
	Attachment to the Employees
	Attachment to the Other Customers
	Attention (Experiencing versus Mind Wandering)
	Attention to the Ad (General)35

Attention to the Ad (Message Content)	36
Attention to the Celebrity's Image	37
Attitude Predictability	38
Attitude Strength	40
Attitude Toward "Green" Advertising (Usefulness)	42
Attitude Toward "Green" Products	43
Attitude Toward Advertising (Negative)	44
Attitude Toward Advertising of a Brand (Future)	46
Attitude Toward Advertising of a Brand (Past)	48
Attitude Toward Non-Core Users	50
Attitude Toward Personal Consumption of Alcohol	51
Attitude Toward Sex in the Media	
Attitude Toward the Act (General)	54
Attitude Toward the Act (Installing a Product)	55
Attitude Toward the Ad (Activity Judgments)	57
Attitude Toward the Ad (Attractiveness)	58
Attitude Toward the Ad (Divergence)	59
Attitude Toward the Ad (Informativeness)	61
Attitude Toward the Ad (Liveliness)	62
Attitude Toward the Ad (Meaningfulness)	63
Attitude Toward the Ad (Surprising)	65
Attitude Toward the Ad (Vividness)	66
Attitude Toward the Ad's Personalization	68
Attitude Toward the Article	70
Attitude Toward the Article (Clarity)	71
Attitude Toward the Ban	73
Attitude Toward the Brand (Celebrity Endorsement)	75
Attitude Toward the Brand (Comparative)	77
Attitude Toward the Brand (Symbolism)	79

Attitude	Toward	the	Company's Altruism (Positive)
Attitude	Toward	the	Company's Altruism (Positive) 82
Attitude	Toward	the	Eco- Label's Source 84
Attitude	Toward	the	Food Product (Nutritiousness)85
Attitude	Toward	the	Future87
Attitude	Toward	the	Gift89
Attitude	Toward	the	Object (General)90
Attitude	Toward	the	Posted Complaint (Benign)
Attitude	Toward	the	Posted Complaint (Serious)
Attitude	Toward	the	Product/Brand (Meaningful)
Attitude	Toward	the	Retailer (Customer Oriented)98
Attitude	Toward	the	Retailer (General Evaluative)
Attitude	Toward	the	Sports Team
Attitude	Toward	the	Store's Prices 103
Attitude	Toward	the	Third-Party Label
Attitude	Toward	the	Website (Content)
Attitude	Toward	the	Website (Product Assortment)
Attitude	Toward	the	Website (Quality)
Authenti	city Evic	denc	e for a Product109
Authenti	city of tl	he P	roduct
Authenti	city of tl	he P	roduct
Autonom	าy		
Belief in	a Just V	Vorl	d114
Betrayal			
Brand Af	fordabil	ity .	
Brand In	nportano	ce	
Brand Lo	yalty		
Brand Lo	yalty		
Brand Lo	yalty		

Brand Parity
Brand Preference
Brand Pride127
Brand Status
Brand's Effect on Owner's Status
Calmness of the Experience
Casual Sex Openness
Cause Participation Conflict
Celebrity Worship
Closeness to the Person
Closing Time Compliance
Commitment (Affective)
Communal Orientation
Company Reshoring Motives (Extrinsic)
Company Reshoring Motives (Intrinsic)
Compatibility of a Product with Personal Values
Competence
Complaint Intentions
Complexity of the Assortment
Concern for the Needy (Friends)
Concern for the Needy (Self)
Conflicted
Congruence (Self with Employees)
Congruence of Employees161
Connectedness (Self with Group)
Connectedness (Social)
Control of the Space
Convenience of Choosing Products from an Assortment
Co-Production Effort

Co-Production Enjoyment	168
Corporate Social Responsibility (Effect on Customer's Support)	17C
Corporate Social Responsibility (Obligation)	172
Counterculturalism	174
Cultural Identity	175
Day Quality Expectation	177
Deservingness (Special Purchase for Self)	178
Desirability of Control	18C
Desire for Status	182
Desire to Win the Auction	183
Devotion to Another	185
Dietary Control Behaviors	187
Disconfirmation of the Experience	189
Dominance-Seeking	190
Dominating the Brand	192
Donate to Improve Equality (Social Norms)	193
Donation Efficacy	195
Donation Happiness	197
Dysfunctional Spending During Travel	198
E-mail Message Informativeness	200
E-mail Message Irritativeness	202
E-mail Message Riskiness	204
E-mail Sender Trustworthiness	206
Empathy	208
Empathy (Mentalizing Likelihood)	210
Employee Rapport	212
Empowerment (General)	214
Engagement (Felt)	215
Engagement (General)	217

Engagement in the Choice Process
Environmental Impact of the Product
Environmentalism (Product Choices) 222
Environmentalism (Purchasing Behavior)
Ethnic Identification (Exploration & Commitment)
Exhibitionism of the Person
Expertise with Humor
Fairness (Business's Treatment of the Customer)
Fate Malleability
Fear of Negative Evaluation
Fearfulness
Figure-Ground Contrast in the Ad
Financial Contentment 236
Firm's Motivation to Help the Environment
Focus on Affect During Ad Evaluation
Fragility (Gender Comparison)
Frequency of the Experience
Gift Certificate Evaluation (Affective)
Gift Inappropriateness 242
Goal Commitment
Gratitude Expressed by Others
Group Comparison Concerns
Group Competitiveness Desire
Group Image Concerns
Guilt
Guilt
Guilt
Guilt (Not Buying Cause-Related Deal)
Guilt Appraisal (Donation Failure)

Happiness (State) 255
Happiness with the Experience
Health Importance
Healthy Eating Concern (Gender Stereotype)
Helpfulness259
Homophily
Homophily
Hope (Dispositional)
Humanness263
Identification with the Environmental Organization
Imagery Vividness (Visual)267
Implicit Person Theory268
Importance of Having Money270
Impulse Buying (Product Specific)
Impulse Buying Tendency
Incongruity (General)
Infection Severity
Informativeness (General)279
Insecurity (Social)
Intelligence
Intention to Engage in the Exercise
Intention to Prevent Heart Disease
Intention to Prevent Skin Cancer
Intention to Support the Nonprofit
Intrusion Pressure from Employees
Intrusion Pressure from Employees
Involvement (General)291
Involvement in the Exercise
Involvement with Sales

Involvement with the Internet
Involvement with the Product Category (Choice Uncertainty)
Involvement with the Product Category (Pleasure)
Involvement with the Product Category (Sign)
Involvement with the Sport
Involvement with the Sports-related Event
Justice of the Website (Distributive)
Justice of the Website (Procedural)
Knowledge (Domain Specific)
Knowledge (Subjective)
Lay Rationalism
Littering Intention
Love (Dispositional)
Love (State)
Loyalty Intentions
Loyalty to the Store
Malicious Intent by Employees
Market Dynamism 323
Materialism (Parent)
Mind Wandering During a Task
Money Saving Behaviors
Mood (Global)
Morality
Morality
Need for Cognition
Need For Help (Person)
Need for Uniqueness (General)
Negotiation Power (Customer with Salesperson)
Neutral (State)

Norm Reasonability 337
Novelty of the Advertised Product
Organic Food's Natural Content 339
Organic Food's Nutritional Value
Other Person's Confidence
Other Person's Goodwill
Othe r Person's Social Responsibility
Others-Focused Experience
Parental Style (Rejection)345
Parental Style (Warmth)
Patronage Reduction
Personality Adjustability350
Place Attachment
Place Attachment (Physical)
Place Attachment (Social)
Place Dependence356
Place Distinction
Place Identity359
Place Nostalgia
Popularity of the New Product
Power (Social)
Power Distance
Power Felt (State)
Power from Distinctive Products
Powerfulness369
Preference for Store Brands
Price Believability372
Price Consciousness
Price Fairness

Price Liking	376
Price-Quality Relationship	377
Privacy Concerns (Company's Misuse of Information)	378
Privacy Concerns with Internet Usage	380
Privacy of Response	381
Product Component Centrality	383
Product Component Centrality	384
Product Component Importance	386
Product Design (Aesthetic)	388
Product Design (Functional)	390
Product Design (Symbolic)	392
Product Effectiveness (Energy Stimulation)	394
Product Effectiveness (Stimulation Duration)	396
Product Evaluation (Beverage)	398
Product Evaluation (Credence)	399
Product Evaluation (Experience)	401
Product Evaluation (Search)	403
Product Selection Freedom	405
Production Effort	407
Prorelationship Behaviors (Ability)	408
Prorelationship Behaviors (Motivation)	409
Prosocial Consumption (Close-Others)	411
Prosocial Consumption (Distant-Others)	412
Public Nature of Responses	413
Punishment Seeking	414
Purchase Activism	415
Purchase Choice Certainty	416
Purchase Intention (Organic Food)	417
Purchase Reward Expectation	418

Purchasing Power419
Quality Consciousness
Quality of the Jeans
Quality of the Meal
Quality of the Service Provider's Facilities
Quality of the Store (Physical Environment)
Quality of the Store
Rapport with Employees
Reactance (Intrusiveness)
Realism of the Purchase Simulation
Reasons Against Adopting the Innovation (Risk)
Reasons Against Installing the Product (Cost)
Reasons Against Installing the Product (Difficulty)
Reasons for Installing the Product (Financial)
Reasons for Installing the Product (Independence) 441
Reasons for Using the Product (Convenience)
Recycling Intention
Reflected Appraisal of Persons in the Ad
Regret about the Customization Decision
Regulatory Focus of the Charity
Relational Expectations
Relational Sensemaking452
Relevance of the Slogan454
Religiosity (Affective)455
Religiosity (Behavioral)456
Religiosity (Cognitive)457
Reproductive Value (Gender Comparison)
Repurchase Intention Towards the Service Provider
Research Hypothesis Awareness

Responsibility to Help Others
Retail Patronage (By Store Type)
Retaliation Against Employees
Review Helpfulness
Review Writer's Intention to be Helpful
Risk (Performance)
Risk in Choosing From an Assortment
Riskiness of Unprotected Sex
Romantic Pursuit
Safety of the Food Product
Salesperson's Customer Orientation (Functional) 477
Salesperson's Customer Orientation (Relational)
Satisfaction with the Business
Satisfaction with the Co-Production Process
Satisfaction with the Customization Decision
Satisfaction with the Discount
Scarcity (Personal Resources)
Self Image Concerns
Self-Accountability for Group Performance
Self-Concept (Collective)
Self-Concept (Relational)
Self-Concept (Self-Discipline)
Self-Continuity Preference
Self-Defining Experience
Self-Esteem Attack Likelihood
Self-Improvement Motivation
Self-Regulatory Exertion (Math Task)
Self-Regulatory Goal Progress
Self-Transformation (Acquiring an Object)

Service Dominant Orientation (Concerted)
Service Dominant Orientation (Developmental)
Service Dominant Orientation (Empowered)
Service Dominant Orientation (Ethical)
Service Dominant Orientation (Individuated)
Service Dominant Orientation (Relational)
Service Failure Attributions (Internal)
Service Importance
Service Quality (Empathy)517
Service Quality (Overall)
Service Quality (Overall)
Severity of the Situation
Sharing an Experience with a Brand
Shopping Choice Difficulty
Shopping Convenience (General)
Shopping Intention
Shopping List Prioritization
Shopping Orientation (Efficiency)
Shopping Orientation (Hedonic)
Shopping Trip Failure
Shopping Value (Hedonic)
Similarity in Employees' Appearance 536
Skepticism of the Product Claim
Smoking-Related Beliefs (Negative)
Sociability539
Social Attraction
Social Attraction via Twitter
Social Comparison (Appearance)
Social Distance with the Mentally III

Social Identification
Social Presentation Concern
Social Support
Special Treatment Entitlement
Special Treatment Entitlement from Employees
Spending Aversion
Sponsor/Sponsee Congruence
Status (Social)
Store Atmosphere
Store Design (Attractiveness)
Store Design (Interior Layout)
Store Design (Ordering Costs)
Superstitious Beliefs
Support for Lowering the Minimum Drinking Age
Switching Costs (Benefits Lost)
Switching Costs (Investment)
Switching Costs (Learning)
Switching Costs (Uncertainty)
Switching Intention
Symbolic Embeddedness (Contentment)
Symbolic Embeddedness (Happiness)
Symbolic Embeddedness (Love)
Symbolic Embeddedness (Love)
Symbolic Embeddedness (Pride)
Task Difficulty
Task Enjoyment
Taste Evaluation (General)
Temporal Proximity
Time Availability

Time Availability 590
Time Beneficialness592
Time Pressure from Employees
Transformational Relationship Event
Treatment Seeking Likelihood
Trust (Interpersonal)598
Trust in Feelings 600
Trust in the Organization's Treatment of Members 602
Trust in the Third-Party Label
Trustworthiness (General)
Uniqueness of the Design607
Usefulness of the Shopping Aid
Value of the Transaction
Values (Biospheric)
Values (Egoistic)613
Variety Within the Assortment
Visibility (Social)616
Visual Complexity617
Visual Processing Fluency (General)
Visual Processing Fluency (Product Customization)
Vividness (General)621
Vulnerability (Health)622
Water Conservation Intention
Weariness625
Website's User-Generated Content
Willingness to Pay a Price Premium
Willingness to Purchase a Product as a Gift
Willingness to Purchase the Advertised Brand
Willingness to Purchase the Company's Products

	Willingness to Share the Product Review via Twitter	633
	Word-of-Mouth (Negative)	634
	Word-of-Mouth Intention (Positive)	635
	Word-of-Mouth Intention for the Sports Team	636
	Word-of-Mouth Likelihood (General)	638
	Zero-Sum Beliefs	640
S	Subject Index	643
Δ	About the Author	5499

Preface

.

In recent years, I have tried several different ways to release the *Marketing Scales Handbooks*. There have been ebooks at *MarketingScales.com*, ebooks available on Kindle and other devices, and print books available from *Amazon.com*, *CreateSpace.com*, and other retail outlets. It has become clear to me that the majority of users of this material prefer it in print form rather than digital. Given what I have learned, Volume 9 is only being released in paper for individual purchases.* So much for the digital revolution, at least when it comes to material such as this!

Use of this book in paper has its drawbacks, however. The primary one apparent to me is that finding measures of interest is not as easy as it is with a digital version. While the Table of Contents is useful, I felt I should provide a Subject Index as well. Yet, creating one is a difficult task for a variety of reasons. They are also quite imperfect given that space permits only a few key words per scale. If you need more assistance in finding something of interest than is provided in the Subject Index, consider using the search function at <code>MarketingScales.com</code>. Hopefully, you can get a better idea about which key words in the Index will guide you to what you want.

As for the future of this work, I expect for it to continue for at least one more volume. I have already begun gathering articles published after the period covered in this volume and will soon begin reviewing the scales they contain. Although the day will come when I will step away from this work, that is not in my plans for the near future. Keep in mind that until the next volume is released, my reviews of new scales will be added to the database at *MarketingScales.com* in a timely manner. If you do not find something in this book that you are looking for, please search for it at the website.

Good luck in your research!

⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻

^{*} As with Volumes 5-8, it is expected that this book will be released in proprietary format for sales by major suppliers of e-resources to library customers.

Acknowledgements

As I write descriptions of scales, I primarily depend upon the information in the journals articles and any appendices related to them. There are many cases, however, when I need some critical piece of information or clarification. When that happens, I attempt to contact the authors. They do not always respond and, if they do not, it may mean their work is not reviewed. Listed below are those authors who did respond to my requests while working on this volume. I appreciate their assistance.

Auke Hunneman

Thomas Allard Alexis Allen Lucy Atkinson Ernest Baskin Jordan Etkin Berger Christopher Berry Amit Bhattachariee Keith Botner Lisa Cavanaugh Boyoun (Grace) Chae Luca Cian Sarah De Meulenaer Rod Duclos Robert J. Fisher Michael D. Giebelhausen Colleen Harmeling Jonathan Hasford Ilona de Hooge

Christopher K. Hsee Szu-chi Huang Xun (Irene) Huang Kineta Hung Veronika Ilyuk Hyeongmin Christian Kim Junghan Kim Atul A. Kulkarni JaeHwan Kwon Kevin Lehnert Peggy Liu Donald J. Lund Frank May Juan Mena Sarah Moore Stephanie Noble John Peloza

Kate Pounders Marina Puzakova Ryan Rahinel Rebecca Walker Reczek Marsha L. Richins Sara Rosengren Ayalla A. Ruvio Anthony Salerno Rom Y. Schrift Ioannis G. Theodorakis Rima Touré-Tillery Merel Walraven Caleb Warren Katherine White Adelle X. Yang Yang Yang Fric Yorkston

As with previous volumes, I thank my wife for understanding the time and effort I put into this work. That is doubly true now that I am retired and could be doing things she believes would be more "fun." Sometimes I wonder as well why I continue to do it. Hmmm... maybe this is "fun" for the scholar in me.

May your measures always be valid!

Fort Worth, Texas February 2017

Introduction

.

The scales reviewed in this volume were reported in articles published in 2014 and 2015. While that does not necessarily mean a scale was first reported during that time period, it does mean that none of the scales in this volume were in a previous volume of this series. In that sense, the scales are new to the series. If users are looking for something that is not in this book, they are urged to check out the full database at *MarketingScales.com* where several thousand scales that were previously reviewed are available.

Similar to Volumes 4 to 8, this volume is composed entirely of scales that were used in scholarly research of "consumers" or similar groups of respondents, e.g., viewers, patients, donors, citizens, etc. Despite that, dozens of the scales in this volume are amenable for use in a wide variety of studies and with all sorts of people, including those in an organizational context such as administrators and employees.

To be part of this volume, scales had to be composed of three or more items, have an acceptable level of empirical evidence of their psychometric quality, and be reflective measures rather than formative. There were three other criteria used as well. As described below, one was a constraint imposed at the scale level, one was a constraint at the construct level, and the final one had to do with time.

At the scale level, some measures found in recent articles were not included because they were the same or very similar to ones that had been reviewed in previous volumes. **They were not "new" and, b**ecause of that, there are no scales in this book with lots of uses reported over many years in the top marketing journals. The more recent uses of older scales may have been cited in the reviews at the database, however.

Another criterion used to focus the work was at the construct level. The question asked was, how many unique, alternative measures of a construct have already been reviewed and are housed in the repository at *MarketingScales.com*? Having alternative measures of the same construct is useful to researchers so that they can compare the various characteristics and choose the scale that best suits their purpose. But, at some point, the endless review of measures of the same construct is not the best use of time. While there was no hard and fast rule to guide this constraint, suffice it to say that the

greater the number of different measures of a construct that have already been reviewed, the less likely that yet another measure was reviewed.

The final major criterion used to manage the workload was to focus on articles from a two year period. This was begun with Volume 7 because there are limits to the number of pages a book printer (CreateSpace) will allow for paperback books. With that in mind, an initial examination was conducted of over 600 articles published in six top marketing journals during 2014 and 2015. (The journals are specified in the table on the next page.) From that group, 215 articles received greater scrutiny because they appeared to have measures of the type focused on in the series. After closer examination, some of those articles were dismissed because the measures they included did not meet enough of the stated criteria or the authors did not respond to requests for more information. Ultimately, there were 187 articles from the marketing literature domain with 433 scales that were reviewed for this book.

As for assigning names to scales, it is a more challenging task than might be imagined. It is not as simple as calling them what the users did. In some cases, the researchers described a scale without giving it a "proper" name, e.g., the attitude scale used in the field survey. Other times, a scale was given a name by authors that made sense in the context of their particular study but was more widely known with a more general construct name or one that would make more sense to readers, e.g., temporary abandonment vs. Shopping Trip Failure. Given this, several things were taken into account when deciding what to call each scale: what did the creators call it, what have other researchers called measures of the same construct, what is the most popular name of the construct itself among marketing scholars, and how can a long name be condensed to a reasonable length?

The layout of reviews is similar to the last few volumes but a few minor changes have been made. Details about the type of information found in the various sections of each scale review are provided in the table on the next page.

TABLE

Scale Review Format

The top of the page on which a scale review begins has a short, descriptive name. Several issues are taken into account when assigning a name and it may not be the one used by the users of the scale. See the discussion on the previous page for more details.

Just below the scale name are a few sentences that succinctly describe the construct apparently being assessed and the number of items composing the scale. If known, the number of points on the rating scale and the response format (e.g., Likert, semantic differential) are described as well.

ORIGIN:

Information about the creation of the scale is provided in this section, if known. In a substantial portion of cases, the source of the scale was not stated by the authors of the article. While in many and maybe most of those cases the authors were the likely creators of the scale, it is not always true. Sometimes the authors of the article do not cite the source and it leaves the impression the measure is original even though they borrowed it from someone else. The opposite also occurs too many times. Specifically, authors describe their scale as "adapted" from a particular source. Yet, when a comparison is made between the "adapted" scale and the cited one, there is little resemblance.

RELIABILITY:

For the most part, reliability is described in terms of internal consistency, most typically with Cronbach's alpha or construct reliability. In the few cases where it is known, scale stability (test-retest correlation) is reported as well. For those unfamiliar with these statistics, higher numbers are generally better. With particular regard to internal consistency, statistics below .60 if not .70 as well could be considered insufficiently reliable for testing theory. Few of those scales are included in the book.

VALIDITY:

There are several types of validity and no single study is expected to fully validate a scale. While it is hoped that authors of each study would provide at least some evidence of a scale's validity, the reality is the opposite. Most articles do not have information about scale validity. At the other extreme, a few authors have provided so much information in their articles about a scale's validation that the work is merely summarized and readers are urged to consult the cited article for more details.

COMMENTS:

This field is used occasionally when something significant was observed and was deemed important enough to mention in its own section of the review. For example, if something about a scale is judged to be deficient then readers may be urged in this section to exercise caution in using the scale. Another example is that in many cases a scale was phrased by its creators for use in a particular context but it is pointed out that with a little modification the scale seems to usable in other contexts.

REFERENCES:

Every source cited in a review is referenced in this section. The six journals that were closely examined for articles with scales are *Journal of Advertising*, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *Journal of Consumer Research*, *Journal of Marketing*, *Journal of Marketing Research*, and *Journal of Retailing*. Citation of additional journals, books, proceedings, and other sources are provided when relevant to a review. As stated in the Acknowledgements, in many cases the scale users themselves were contacted. If they responded and provided useful information, they are cited.

ITEMS:

The statements, adjectives, or questions composing a scale are listed in this field and are generally referred to as *scale items*. Also, an indication of the response format is provided in this section or its footnote unless it is has been adequately specified in the description at the beginning of the review. For example, many of the measures were merely **described as "Likert-type"** by their authors but the specific verbal anchors of the response scale were not stated. It can be assumed the extreme anchors were *strongly agree / strongly disagree* or

some close variant. The graphic version of the scales and how to lay them out in a questionnaire are not provided in the reviews here because they are almost never provided in the published articles though they might be in web appendices. Concerned readers should consult books that deal with survey development or types of measurement scales.

Where an item is followed by an (r) it means that the numerical response should be reverse-coded when calculating scale scores. Since errors involving notation of reverse-coding can occur at various stages of an article's composition, review, editing, and publication process, users of scales are urged to examine items closely to determine which ones should be reverse-coded.

The instructions for respondents that were used with scales in the questionnaires are rarely provided in the reviews here because authors of the articles in which the scales were found rarely provided them. Users of scale should feel free to contact the scale authors and ask them about the instructions and any other questions related to using the measure.

SCALE REVIEWS

ATTENTION TO THE AD (MESSAGE CONTENT)

A person's expressed interest in the message content of an ad and the attention he/she paid to it is measured with three, seven-point Likert-type items.

ORIGIN:

The scale was used by Yoon (2015) in three studies. She borrowed and adapted three items from a larger scale created by Nabi and colleagues (Nabi, Moyer-Gusee, and Byrna 2007; Wolski and Nabi 2000).

RELIABILITY:

Although the scale was used by Yoon (2015) in all three studies described in the article, the exact reliability of the scale was only reported for Study 1 (alpha = .94).

VALIDITY:

Yoon (2015) did not discuss the scale's validity.

REFERENCES:

Nabi, Robin L., Emily Moyer-Gusee, and Sahara Byrne (2007), "All Joking Aside: A Serious Investigation into the Persuasive Effect of Funny Social Issue Messages," Communication Monographs, 74 (1), 29–54.

Wolski, Stacey and Robin L. Nabi (2000), "Message Processing Quality: Confirmatory Analysis of an Elaboration Depth Measure" paper presented at the 50th annual conference of the International Communication Association, Acapulco, Mexico.

Yoon, Hye Jin (2015), "Humor Effects in Shame-Inducing Health Issue Advertising: The Moderating Effects of Fear of Negative Evaluation," *Journal of Advertising*, 44 (2), 126-139.

ITEMS:

- 1. I was interested in what the ad had to say.
- 2. I paid close attention to the ad's arguments.
- 3. I didn't let myself get distracted from focusing on the message content.

GOAL COMMITMENT

The five, seven-point items in the scale measure how dedicated a person is to achieving a specified goal and how much he/she will work to reach it.

ORIGIN:

Devezer et al. (2014) used the scale in Studies 1, 2, and 3. The items were taken from an index created by Tubbs (1993) which was a composite of three aspects of commitment: direct, effort-based, and valence.

RELIABILITY:

The scale's alphas ranged from .81 to .92 in the studies in which it was used by Devezer et al. (2014).

VALIDITY:

The scale's validity was not addressed by Devezer et al. (2014).

COMMENTS:

Tubbs (1993) viewed the commitment index as a composite of three dimensions and Devezer et al. (2014) acknowledged that view. Given that, there is some question as to whether or not the set of items borrowed by Devezer et al. (2014) compose a unidimensional scale. Potential users should be wary of using the scale in theory testing until its unidimensionality is confirmed.

REFERENCES:

Devezer, Berna, David E. Sprott, Eric R. Spangenberg, and Sandor Czellar (2014), "Consumer Well Being: Effects of Subgoal Failures and Goal Importance," *Journal of Marketing*, 78 (2), 118-134.

Tubbs. Mark E. (1993), "Commitment as a Moderator of the Goal-Performance Relation: A Case for Clearer Construct Definition," Journal of Applied Psychology, 78 (1), 86–97.

LIEMS, 1

1.	How committed are you to attaining your? not committed / very committed
2.	To what extent do you feel committed to your? not at all / very much
3.	How likely is it that you will work your hardest for your? not very likely / very
	likely

PATRONAGE REDUCTION

With three statements, the scale measures a customer's regret for having patronized a certain retailer because of a bad experience there and the intention to reduce visits to the establishment if not stopping all together.

ORIGIN:

The scale was used by Ashley and Noble (2014) in Studies 2, 3, and 4. They referred to the construct as *abandonment*. The authors created the scale for use in the studies and drew ideas from a similar scale by Gregoire and Fisher (2006).

RELIABILITY:

The scale's alphas were .87, .78, and .90 in Studies 2, 3, and 4, respectively (Ashley and Noble 2014, p. 80).

VALIDITY:

CFA was employed by Ashley and Noble (2014) to assess the measurement models for the studies and the conclusion was that the models had good fit. For all reflective scales in the models, there was evidence of discriminant validity. With respect to patronage reduction, the AVEs were 69 (Study 2), 34 (Study 3), and .75 (Study 4).

REFERENCES

Ashley, Christy and Stephanic M. Noble (2014), "It's Closing Time: Territorial Behaviors from Customers in Response to Font Line Employees," *Journal of Retailing*, 90 (1), 74-92.

Grégoire, Yany and Robert J. Fisher (2006), "The Effects of Relationship Quality on Customer Retaliation," Marketing Letters, 17 (January), 31–46.

Noble, Stephanie M. (2015), personal correspondence.

$I \perp L \setminus I \subset \Gamma$	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ı
MJ.	

1.	Based on	my experience, I will	less at this
		my experience, I will not	
3.	If I could	l do it again, I would have .	at a different

^{1.} Noble (2015) confirmed that the scale was composed of five-point Likert-type items. The type of activity that the participant was engaging in should be stated in the first blanks of #1 and #3, e.g., shop, eat, exercise. All of the other blanks should be filled with a name for the type of facility the participant was in, e.g., store, restaurant, fitness center.

RECYCLING INTENTION

A person's plan to engage in behaviors that support of a recycling program are measured with seven, seven-point items.

ORIGIN:

White, Simpson, and Argo (2014) used the scale in Study 3 and analysis was based on data from 240 undergraduate students. The scale was created by the authors (White 2015) and is strongly based on phrasings from other intention measures used by the lead author in previous research (White and Peloza 2009; White and Simpson 2013).

RELIABILITY:

The alpha for the scale was .865 (White, Simpson, and Argo 2014, p. 441)

VALIDITY:

White, Simpson, and Argo (2014) did not discuss the scale's validity.

REFERENCES:

White, Katherine (2015), personal correspondence.

White, Katherine and John Peloza (2009), "Self-Benefit Versus Other-Benefit Marketing Appeals: Their Effectiveness in Generating Charitable Support," *Journal of Marketing*, 73 (4), 109-124.

White, Katherine and Bonnie Simpson (2013), "When Do (and Don't) Normative Appeals Influence Sustainable Consumer Behaviors?" *Journal of Marketing*, 77 (2), 78-95.

White, Katherine Bonnie Simpson, and Jennifer J. Argo (2014), "The Motivating Role of Dissociative Out-Groups in Encouraging Positive Consumer Behaviors," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 51 (4), 438-447.

ITEMS:1

- 1. How likely are you to take part in _____'s recycling program?²
 - Highly Unlikely / Highly Likely
- 2. How inclined are you to take part by recycling? Not Very Inclined / Highly Inclined
- 3. How writing are you to take part by recycling? Not Very Willing / Very Willing
- 4. To what degree do you intend to recycle in support of the recycling program? *Not at all / Very much so*

WILLINGNESS TO PURCHASE A PRODUCT AS A GIFT

Three, seven-point Likert-type items measure a consumer's openness to the idea of purchasing a product by a company as a gift in a hypothetical situation. The product, the company, and for whom the gift is intended are not specified in the items themselves and must be provided elsewhere.

ORIGIN:

Fuchs, Schreier, and van Osselaer (2015) used the scale in Study 2 of the four discussed in their article. Analysis was apparently conducted with data collected from a final sample of 434 members of an Austrian consumer panel. Pased on what was stated in the web appendix to the article, the scale and the rest of the study was phrased in German. The source of the scale itself was not identified

RFIIABILITY:

The internal consistency of the scale (Cronbach's alpha) was .84 (Fuchs, Schreier, and van Osselaer 2015, p. 103).

VALIDITY:

The discriminant validity of all construct measures was examined using CFA (Fuchs, Schreier, and van Osselaer 2015, p. 103). Two different tests provided evidence in support of each scale's discriminant validity

COMMENTS:

Fuchs, Schreier, and van Osselaer (2015) viewed the scale as measuring purchase intention but the scale is not called that here. No explicit purchase intent is expressed in the items but marely expressing a willingness to purchase the product in a hypothetical situation.

REFERENCES:

Fuchs, Christoph, Martin Schreier, and Stijn M.J. van Osselaer (2015), "The Handmade Effect: What's Love Got to Do with It?" *Journal of Marketing*, 79 (2), 98-110.

ITEMS.1

Would you buy a product of this firm as a gift for the intended gift recipient?

- 1. For this occasion, I would buy this product as a gift.
- 2. It is unlikely that I would buy a product of this firm as a gift. (r)

Subject Index

.

Acceptance: 3, 162, 280, 345 Accomplishment: 494, 499, 640 Activity: 28, 57, 62 Adoption: 55, 433-443 Advertising: 5-10, 22, 35-37, 42, 44-48, 57-68, 234, 238, 430, 446, 454, 630 Advice: (see Recommendation) Aesthetics: 388, 557 Affect: 142, 313, 328, 336, 353, 455 Affordability: 118, 273, 529 Altruism: 12, 80-82, 330, 342 (see also Benevolence) Anthropomorphism: 13-15, 263, 592 Anxiety: 17-19, 233, 280 Appearance: 263, 280, 486, 536, 544 Appreciation: 21, 245

Appropriateness: 89, 96, 215, 242, 337 Arousal: 24, 25, 217 Assertiveness: 151, 341 Association: 355, 377, 545, 554 Assortment: 107, 154, 165, 471, 525, 615 Atmospherics: 427, 557-559 Attachment: 29-31, 127, 351-355 Attention: 33-37, 227, 325, 616 Attitude: 38-40 Attractiveness: 58, 474, 544, 558-561 Attributions: 13-15 Authenticity: 109-111 Authority: 190, 613 Autonomy: 112, 174 Availability: 589-591, 630 Avoidance: 187, 346, 553 Awareness: 213, 461 Behavioral: 326, 408-410, 456

Believability: (see *Credibility*) Benefits: 5, 54-56, 443, 537, 567 Benevolence: 12, 155-156, 259, 462 (see also Altruism) Brand: 7, 46-50, 75-79, 109-110, 118-131, 192, 223, 370, 422, 524, 627, 630 Budgeting: 198, 326, 529 Celebrity: 37, 75, 137 Certainty: 38-40, 416 Change: 230, 268, 350, 452 Charity: 135, 170, 193-197, 253, 254, 411-412, 449 Children: 239, 345-348, 458 Choice: 119, 219, 386, 405, 471, 480 Clarity: 71, 616 Clothing: 326, 411, 422

Cognition: 13, 331, 457

Beliefs: 457, 538, 564

Comfortable: 19, 328, Consequences: 277, 469, Disposal: 222, 315 347 473 Dominance: 164, 190-Commitment: 120, 142, Conservation: 412, 623 192, 341, 369 243, 460 Control: 27, 164, 180, Donate: 193-197, 254, Communication: 512, 542 187, 192, 230, 369, 412 Community: 144, 172, 492 Drink: 51, 398, 566 Co-production: 166-168, Dynamic: 57, 62, 323 411 481, 506, 626 Eating: 187, 257-258 Company: 80-82, 146-148, 170-172, 378, Effectiveness: 22, 195, Convenience: 165, 443, 480, 519, 628, 632 526, 562 394-397, 609 Compatibility: 161, 260 Cooperation: 3, 140, 259, Efficiency: 529-531, 609 Comparison: 77, 126, 502 Effort: 166, 407, 497 239, 261, 458, 544 Costs: 435, 562, 567-574 E-mail: 200-206 Competence: 151, 206, Credibility: 70, 372, 537 Employees: 29, 140, 159-602 Culture: 175, 546 161, 212, 289-290, Competition: 25, 103, Customization: 68, 448, 322, 429, 465, 502, 183, 247 483, 619 536, 552, 593 Complaining: 92-94, 153, Decision-making: 198, Embarrassment: 17, 242, 634 313, 529, 593, 600 249 Deception: 44, 116, 508 Complexity: 54, 617 Emotions: 132, 208, 238, Dependency: 351, 441 318, 336, 600 Components: 383-387 Concern: 82, 155-156, Design: 388-393, 558-Empathy: 208-210 Engagement: 35, 215-208, 248, 342, 380, 563, 607 469 Desirability: 89, 180, 183 219, 325 Confidence: 38, 214, 341 Devotion: 185, 455 Enjoyment: 168, 585-587 Conflict: 135, 158 Differentiation: 59, 334, Environmentalism: 42-43, Confusion: 6, 71, 202, 84, 220-223, 237, 265, 392, 607 234 Difficulty: 234, 407, 437, 411, 612 Congruence: 6, 150, 159-535, 584, 618 Equity: 229, 365 161, 261, 275, 554 Discomfort: 17, 19, 328 Esteem: 246, 495

Disconfirmation: 189, 594

Ethics: 343, 508, 606

Ethnicity: 175, 225, 546 Frequency: 240, 284 Honesty: 598, 605-606 Evaluation: 231, 238, Friendly: 220, 342, 517, Hostility: 322, 465 399-404, 423, 548 539 Hotel: 82, 212, 319 Excitement: 24, 57 Friends: 138, 155, 490, Humor: 92, 228 Exclusivity: 129, 556, 540-543, 549, 635 Identification: 137, 142, 163, 175, 225, 265, Exercise: 284, 293 Frustration: 246, 465, Expectations: 8, 79, 177, 533, 625 359, 546 189, 262, 418, 451, Fun: 25, 219, 584 Image: 37, 248, 446, 554 Future: 46, 87, 177, 230, Importance: 54, 119, 551-552, 594 Expensive: 84, 118, 419, 257, 291, 297, 373, 588 435 Games: 101, 304-307, 383, 421, 451, 454-Experiences: 33, 132, 636 455, 516 240, 256, 344, 493-Gender: 239, 258, 458 Improvement: 496, 500 494, 524 Gift: 89, 178, 241, 242, Impulsive: 198, 271-274 Expertise: 228, 293 628 Influence: 363, 368, 613 Failure: 254, 512, 533, Goals: 243, 461, 499 Information: 61, 279, Groups: 162-163, 246-378, 413, 467-468 640 Fairness: 114, 229, 308, 248, 260, 487-489 Installation: 55, 435-442 375 Guilt: 249-254 Integrity: 206, 329 Family: 549 (see also Happiness: 197, 255-256, Intelligence: 202, 230, Children & Parents) 259, 578 282 Fans: 101, 137, 304-307 Harmful: 277, 322, 495, Intensity: 66, 267, 318, Features: 5, 448 522, 538 522, 621 Feedback: 21, 381 Health: 28, 85, 257-258, Intention: 152, 284-288, Fear: 231-233 277, 285, 475, 538, 315, 319, 444, 527, Financial: 236, 313, 439, 545, 596, 622 575, 623, 635, 638 613 Hedonic: 532, 534 Interaction: 19, 429, 506, Fluency: 71, 618-620 Helpfulness: 80, 144, 542, 548 Food: 85, 339-340, 417, 156, 279, 462, 467-Interesting: 7, 48, 291, 423, 475 468, 549 585

Home: 55, 435-442

Freedom: 112, 174, 405

International: 146-148, Media: 53, 153 (see also Packaging: 104, 222-223, 412 Social Media) 604 Intoxication: 51, 566 Medical: 287, 596 Parents: 324, 345-348 Intrusive: 289-290, 430 Meaningful: (see Parity: 125, 193 Involvement: 225, 291-Relevance) Patronage: 319, 349, 307, 444, 506 Members: 265, 602 356, 463 Irritation: 44, 202, 289-Memory: 228, 361 Peacefulness: 132, 577 Message: 36, 200-204 Perception: 33, 234, 267, 290, 430 Justice: 114, 308-309, Money: 182, 236, 270, 275, 617-621 326, 553 Performance: 246, 390, 375 Justification: 178, 337 Morality: 12, 53, 329-469, 487 Knowledge: 228, 293, 330, 343 Personal: 68, 150 310-312, 504 Motivation: 215, 237, Personality: 144, 180, Labels: 84, 104, 604 243, 409, 449, 496 190, 210, 262, 268, Leadership: 180, 363 Needs: 270, 331-334, 331, 350 Learning: 282, 504, 571 477, 485, 510 Persuasion: 22, 40, 363 Legal: 73, 566 Negotiation: 335, 484 Place: 31, 164, 351-361, Lifestyle: 112, 285 Nervousness: 19, 233, 425 Likeability: 43, 50, 89-90, 548 Planning: 273, 529 Pleasure: 134, 255, 300 376, 587 Newness: 338, 362 Norms: 174, 337, 594 Location: 146-149, 351, Policies: 73, 571 425 Novelty: 275, 338, 532 Popularity: 362, 539 Loss: 183, 204, 567 Nutrition: 85, 33-340 Power: 182, 335, 363-369 Love: 185, 317-318, 474, Obligation: 172, 237, Preference: 77, 126, 356, 579-582 449, 487 370 Loyalty: 120-123, 319-Opportunities: 87, 134 Presentation: 227, 446 321, 460 Optimism: 87, 262, 598 Prevention: 187, 285-Manufacturer: 237 (see Organic: 339-340, 417 287, 449, 596 Orientation: 144, 449, also Company & Price: 103, 118, 372-377, *Production*) 478, 502-513, 531-532 484, 611, 627

Ownership: 131, 310, 500

Pride: 127, 488, 583

Materialism: 182, 324

Privacy: 10, 309, 378-Regulation (self): 492, Salespeople: 335, 477-382, 413 497-499 478 Product: 5, 43, 55, 96, Relationships (people): Satisfaction: 21, 94, 98, 107, 111, 150, 154, 29-31, 116, 138, 162-101, 106, 189, 236, 165-168, 220-222, 163, 185, 408-410, 349, 480-484, 611 271, 298-302, 310, 429, 451-452, 478, Saving: 326, 553 490, 512, 540, 545, 323, 338, 362, 368, Scarcity: 485, 589-591 Selection: 165, 298, 405, 383-406, 416, 419-569, 594 421, 433-443, 481-Relevance: 8, 63, 200, 468, 471 483, 500, 537, 615, 241, 256, 454 Self: 227, 245, 486, 496 619, 632-633 Religion: 329-330, 455-Self-concept: 359, 488-Production: 407, 577-583 457 492, 494 Protection: 485, 612 Resources: 236, 485 Sensitivity: 238, 486 Proximity (temporal): Respect: 343, 495, 551 Services: 229, 425, 428, 140, 289, 588 Responsibility: 250-251, 460, 502-521, 552, Punishment: 414, 465 462, 487, 514 573 Purchase: 122, 135, 178, Responsiveness: 212, Severity: 277, 333, 522 253, 271-274, 295, 381, 413 Sex: 53, 134, 473-474 300, 324, 415-419, Restaurant: 319, 358, Sharing: 524, 633 Shopping: 321, 520, 525-432, 529, 627-632 361, 423 Quality: 84, 109-109, Restriction: 73, 187, 623 535, 609, 634 177, 377, 399-404, Retailer: 31, 99, 349, Shopping orientation: 372, 429, 432, 526, 420-428, 519-521 295, 373 Read: 70, 456 575 Signal: 84, 302, 604 Reality: 432, 457, 564 Rewards: 178, 418, 551 Similarity: 125, 138, 159, Reasoning: 313, 331 Risk: 204, 433, 469-473, 260-261, 536 622 Recommendation: 463, Skepticism: 158, 537 517, 635-637 Roles: 452, 494 Skills: 27, 293, 310 Social: 245, 355, 363, Recycling: 222, 411, 444 Rules: 174, 337 Regret: 158, 249-253, Safety: 10, 339, 475 546

Sales: 295, 376

414, 448

Social media: 92-94, 542, Trust: 70, 99, 206, 317, 633 598-606 Sociability: 317, 343, Typicality: 358, 384 344, 408, 539 Uncertainty: 158, 298, Sports: 27, 28, 101, 304-461, 573 307, 636 Understanding: 6, 71, 104-106, 210, 263, Stability: 268, 350, 493 Status: 129-131, 151, 477, 510 182, 365, 556 Unique: 59, 334, 358, Stimulation: 24, 217, 556, 607 394-397 Unusual: 59, 65, 275 Store: 98, 103, 290, 321, Usage: 50, 297, 443, 626 370, 427-428, 463, Usefulness: 42, 61, 63, 96, 104, 279, 467-468 527, 534, 557-563 Strategy: 323, 449 Value: 89, 516, 611 Strength: 40, 214, 366 Values: 79, 114, 150, Stress: 289, 625 270, 365, 415, 612, Success: 182-184, 488, 613, 640 496, 640 Variety: 493, 615 Superiority: 77, 247, 366, Venturesomeness: 271-556 274, 532 Visual: 58, 66, 267, 616-Support: 170, 288, 333, 549, 566 621 Switch: 123, 567-576 Web (internet): 3, 106-Symbolism: 79, 129, 392, 108, 297, 308-309, 577-583 380, 626 Task: 325, 497, 584-586 Willingness: 54, 134, 527, Taste: 398, 587 Tension: 328, 625 Win: 183, 247

Time: 15, 140, 289, 588-

593

540, 545, 627-633 Win: 183, 247 Word-of-Mouth: 633-639 Worry: 231, 247, 622

About the Author

.

Dr. Gordon C. Bruner II (Professor Emeritus, Southern Illinois University) received a B.B.A. and a M.S. in marketing from Texas A&M University. His Ph.D. is from the University of North Texas, with a major in marketing and a minor in music. It was during his doctoral work that he learned about scales, worked with them as he assisted his professors in their research, and eventually created scales of his own that were critical to his dissertation.

After several years of developing scales as part of his empirical research activities as a professor, Dr. Bruner realized the difficulty marketing researchers had in finding scales that had already been developed. Thus began the development of the first *Marketing Scales Handbook* at Southern Illinois University in the late 1980s with Dr. Paul Hensel. When that volume was published in 1992, it was the first book in the field of marketing to provide reviews of scales. Eventually, Dr. Bruner became the sole author of the series. The handbooks are now used by thousands of researchers in academia and industry around the world. Although the earliest volumes in the series are no longer available in print, the reviews of scales they contained having to do with consumer research can be found in revised form in the repository at *MarketingScales.com*. Indeed, the database is the largest collection of psychometrics that have been used in published marketing research, well over 3.700 at this time.

During his years in academia, Dr. Bruner's primary empirical research streams were consumer problem recognition and technology acceptance. His research has been published in the *Journal of Marketing*, the *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, the *Journal of Advertising Research*, the *Journal of Retailing*, *Psychology & Marketing*, the *Journal of Business Research*, as well as many other journals. Throughout his teaching career, his specialties were strategic promotion and consumer behavior.

Dr. Bruner has retired now from academia but remains active in reviewing scales as well as being a devoted husband, father, and grandfather. Additionally, he is an amateur musician, loving to write and record his own songs. Last, but not least, he is a devout Christian, an adherent of the faith though not the religion.